1 2 3	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	
11 PAYMAN BORHAN, 1:21-cv-00218-GSA (PC)	
Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL, WI PREJUDICE	THOU
(D)	
J. WEILESSON,	
Defendant.	
17	
18	
i. Brickokoch	1 1 1 4
Training is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in jornia pumperts with this ervi	
21 action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed the Complaint commencing this ac 22 February 22, 2021. (ECF No. 1.) On May 27, 2021, plaintiff filed a motion seek	
23 appointment of counsel. (ECF No. 8.)	ing the
24 II. MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	
25 Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, <u>I</u>	Rand v
26 Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the court cannot require an attorney to re	
plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court	
28 Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). However, in	_

exceptional circumstances the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to section § 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525.

Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the court will seek volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate both the "likelihood of success of the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims *pro se* in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." <u>Id.</u> (internal quotation marks and citations omitted)

In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. At this early stage in the proceedings, the court cannot make a determination that plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits. Plaintiff filed the Complaint on February 22, 2021, less than three months ago, and the Complaint awaits the court's screening required under 28 U.S.C. 1915. Thus, to date the Court has not found any cognizable claims in plaintiff's Complaint for which to initiate service of process, and no other parties have yet appeared. Plaintiff's claims for adverse conditions of confinement are not complex, and based on a review of the record in this case, the court finds that plaintiff can adequately articulate his claims. Therefore, plaintiff's motion shall be denied without prejudice to renewal of the motion at a later stage of the proceedings.

For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY DENIED, without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 3, 2021 /s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE